

Examiners' Comments on the 2003 Examination

Head III: Commercial and Company Law

Where candidates “went wrong”

A large proportion of candidates regurgitate the facts of the questions for no apparent reason. This is not only an inefficient use of time but also suggests that the candidate is ill-equipped to deal with the substantive issues raised by the questions. The handwriting of many candidates was extremely difficult to decipher.

Many candidates do not attempt any or a sufficient analysis of the issues raised by the facts presented. Some candidates still provide only one unequivocal answer to questions that are designed to solicit an analytical discussion of the various legal issues raised by the set of facts. Candidates should also attempt to identify the general legal issues before proceeding to apply the general principles to the presented facts.